

1 THOMAS M. FERLAUTO (SBN 155503)
2 LAW OFFICE OF THOMAS M. FERLAUTO, APC
3 25201 Paseo de Alicia, Suite 270
4 Laguna Hills, California 92653
5 Telephone: 949-334-8650
6 Fax: 949-334-8691
7 Email: TMF@lawofficeTMF.com

8 Attorney for Plaintiff, JOSHUA ASSIFF

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 **JOSHUA ASSIFF,**

12 **Plaintiff,**

13 **v.**

14 **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES;**
15 **SHERIFF DEPUTY BADGE**
16 **NUMBER 404532;**
17 **And DOES 1 through 10,**

18 **Defendants.**

Case No.

**COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF
CIVIL RIGHTS — 42 USC § 1983;
DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

19
20 COMES NOW, Plaintiff **JOSHUA ASSIFF** (hereinafter referred to as
21 “ASSIFF” or “Plaintiff”) and for causes of action against Defendants COUNTY OF
22 LOS ANGELES (hereinafter referred to as “COLA”); SHERIFF DEPUTY BADGE
23 NUMBER 404532 (hereinafter referred to as the “DEPUTY”) and DOES 1 through
24 10, Inclusive, (collectively COLA, DEPUTY and all DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,
25 shall herein be referred to as “Defendants”) alleges as follows:
26
27
28

1 1. This is a story that happens far too often and has happened for far too
2 long. Plaintiff, a young black male, was driving through a predominately white
3 neighborhood, but as it turns out, his own neighborhood, as he was on his way from
4 his home to a teammate's house to pick up him up to go to basketball practice. A
5 sheriff's deputy pulled Plaintiff over for no apparent reason and without probable
6 cause. Before Plaintiff knew what was happening, and for no apparent reason,
7 Plaintiff was tasered, choked, pepper sprayed, beaten and arrested, all in violation of
8 Plaintiff's constitutional rights.

9 10 **JURISDICTION**

11 2. This court has subject matter jurisdiction over this dispute pursuant to
12 28 USC § 1331 granting Federal district courts original jurisdiction in actions arising
13 under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States. This dispute raises a
14 Federal question under 42 USC § 1983.

15 16 **VENUE**

17 3. Venue is appropriate in the Central District of California, because all
18 Defendants reside in this State, in this county, and in this district. Furthermore,
19 venue is proper in this district, because a substantial part of the events or omissions
20 giving rise to the claim occurred in this district.

21 22 **THE PARTIES**

23 4. Plaintiff is an individual, currently residing in Los Angeles County,
24 State of California.

25 5. Defendant COLA is and at all times herein mentioned has been a public
26 entity and a county government in the State of California duly authorized and existing
27 as such in and under the California Constitution, the laws of the State of California
28 and the Charter of the County of Los Angeles. At all times herein mentioned, COLA

1 possessed the power and authority to adopt policies and prescribe rules, regulations
2 and practices affecting the operation of the Los Angeles County Sheriff Department,
3 (the “Department”) its employees, its tactics, methods, customs, and usages related
4 to traffic stops, criminal arrests, its supervision of personnel and other things.

5 6. Defendant DEPUTY is and at all times herein mentioned has been an
6 individual acting under color of authority, as a Peace Officer, within the meaning of
7 California Penal Code 830.1. DEPUTY was an employee of the Department acting
8 under its direction and control, which knowingly and intentionally promulgated,
9 maintained, applied, enforced, and suffered the continuation of acts, policies,
10 customs, practices and usages in violation of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment
11 to the United States Constitution and the State of California’s Constitution, which
12 customs, practices, and usages at all times herein mentioned cause Plaintiff’s harm.

13 7. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate,
14 or otherwise, of Defendants DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff,
15 who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will seek
16 leave of Court to amend this pleading to show the true names and capacities of such
17 Defendants when the same have been ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes,
18 and thereupon alleges, that each of the fictitiously named Defendants was a legal
19 cause of the injuries and damages suffered and alleged herein, and subject to the
20 jurisdiction of the Court herein as necessary parties for the relief requested.

21 8. The Defendants, and each of them, were the agents, employees, joint
22 venturers and co-conspirators of each of the remaining Defendants, and were acting
23 within the course and scope of said agency, employment, joint venture or conspiracy
24 at all relevant times. Each Defendant agreed in advance to the tortious objective of
25 the conspiracy and committed affirmative acts in furtherance of the conspiracy. To
26 the extent any act of any Defendant was not initially authorized, the same was
27 subsequently ratified by the remaining Defendants.
28

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

9. Plaintiff is a 21-year old black male. Plaintiff is a student at Antelope Valley College where he plays basketball.

10. On or about September 24, 2021, Plaintiff was driving from his home to a teammate's house in order to carpool to basketball practice.

11. For no apparent reason and without probable cause, DEPUTY, a male Caucasian motorcycle officer pulled Plaintiff over.

12. For no apparent reason and without probable cause, DEPUTY – as well as other deputies apparently acting under color of law and apparently working for the Department and COLA who subsequently responded to the call – all tasered, choked, pepper sprayed, beat and arrested Plaintiff.

13. As a result this unnecessary battery and arrest, Plaintiff has suffered economic damages and non-economic damages, including great bodily injury and severe emotional distress.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of 42 USC 1983 Against Defendant DEPUTY

14. Plaintiff incorporates Paragraphs 1-13 above, as if fully restated herein.

15. Defendant DEPUTY, acting under color or law or color of authority, deprived Plaintiff of his rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the State and Federal Constitutions, by arresting Plaintiff without probable cause and with use of excess force in violation of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

16. As a direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendant's violation of 42 USC 1983 as alleged herein, Plaintiff has suffered economic damages as alleged above. The exact amount of this damage is not currently known to Plaintiff, who will seek leave of court to amend this complaint upon ascertaining the precise amount of said damages.

17. As a result of Defendants' tortious conduct as alleged above, Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, non-economic damages. The full amount of these damages is not currently known to Plaintiff, who will seek leave of court to amend this complaint upon ascertaining the precise amount of said damages.

18. The conduct of the Defendant was wanton, willful, deliberate, and in conscious disregard of the rights and feelings of Plaintiff, was undertaken with the intent to cause Plaintiff injury and constitutes malice, actual, express and implied. Accordingly, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of exemplary damages against Defendants, in a sum to be established at trial sufficient to deter future similar conduct.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Monell Claim) Unlawful Custom and Practice Under 42 U.S.C. § 1983

Against Defendant COLA

19. Plaintiff incorporates Paragraphs 1-8 above, as if fully restated herein.

20. The County is and at all times herein mentioned has been a public entity duly authorized and existing as such in and under the laws of the State of California; and at all times herein mentioned, the County possessed the power and authority to adopt policies and prescribe rules, regulations and practices affecting the operation of the DEPUTY and the Department, respectfully, and their tactics, methods, customs, and usages related to traffic stops, criminal arrests, and personnel supervision, among other things.

21. The County knowingly and intentionally promulgated, maintained, applied, enforced, and continued policies, customs, practices and usages in violation of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment respectively to the United States Constitution, which policies, customs, practices, and usages at all times herein mentioned caused Plaintiff's harm. These policies, customs, practices and usages included, without limitation, the employment of motorcycle and other officers to make unnecessary and unwarranted traffic stops to bully and harass African

1 American drivers. This would include among other things, the initiation of frivolous
2 traffic stops, arrest without probable cause, and the use of excessive force to
3 effectuate the arrest.

4 22. The County knowingly maintains and permits official sub-rosa policies
5 and customs of permitting the occurrence of the kinds of wrongs set forth in this
6 Complaint, despite such occurrences being in direct contravention of County policy
7 and the Penal Code.

8 23. As a direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' violation
9 of 42 USC 1983 as alleged herein, Plaintiff has suffered economic damages as alleged
10 above. The exact amount of this damage is not currently known to Plaintiff, who will
11 seek leave of court to amend this complaint upon ascertaining the precise amount of
12 said damages.

13 24. As a result of Defendants' tortious conduct as alleged above, Plaintiff
14 has suffered, and will continue to suffer, non-economic damages. The full amount
15 of these damages is not currently known to Plaintiff, who will seek leave of court to
16 amend this complaint upon ascertaining the precise amount of said damages.

17 25. The conduct of the Defendant was wanton, willful, deliberate, and in
18 conscious disregard of the rights and feelings of Plaintiff, was undertaken with the
19 intent to cause Plaintiff injury and constitutes malice, actual, express and implied.
20 Accordingly, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of exemplary damages against
21 Defendants, in a sum to be established at trial sufficient to deter future similar
22 conduct.

23 **PRAYER**

24 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

25 **On the FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION:**

26 a) For compensatory, economic and non-economic damages in an
27 amount to be proven at trial;

28 b) For punitive damages to be proven at trial; and

1 c) For costs of suit including reasonable attorney's fees.

2 **On the SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION:**

3 a) For compensatory, economic and non-economic damages in an
4 amount to be proven at trial;

5 b) For punitive damages to be proven at trial; and

6 c) For costs of suit including reasonable attorney's fees.

7 **ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION:**

8 a) For restoration of Plaintiff's wrongfully seized property;

9 b) For pre and post-judgment interest on all damages and other relief
10 awarded herein from all entities against whom such relief may be properly
11 awarded; and

12 c) For all such other relief as this Court deems just and appropriate.

13
14 DATED: August 2, 2022

The Law Office Of Thomas M. Ferlauto, APC

15
16
17 By: _____

18 Thomas M. Ferlauto

19 Attorney For: Plaintiff, JOSHUA ASSIFF
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial as provided by Rule 38(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

DATED: August 2, 2022

The Law Office Of Thomas M. Ferlauto, APC

By: 
Thomas M. Ferlauto
Attorney For: Plaintiff, JASHUA ASSIFF